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Theoretical Tools

- Epistemology
  - Sociology and Anthropology of Quantification and Metrics
    - Espeland, Adams (2017), Sally Merry’s (2016) *The Seduction of Quantification*

- Agnotology
  - Ignorance & Absences (McGoey, Crossiant)

- Governance
  - To assess and judge
  - Merry, 2016: “A form of power”
Complex, 2 Tiered, Tri-partite

1. **Separate & Uneven: 14 Government-sponsored unpaid, legal, job protected leave entitlement laws**
   (13 Provincial/Territorial + Federal; Co-ordinated in Québec)
   - 0-52 week continuous employment with employer
   - Complaints of discrimination (job loss) to Human Rights Commissions
   - No systematic data collected; Limited data; No public reports to date

2. **2-Tiered: For 11 years, two Government-sponsored, Employee-Employer Contributory wage-replacement, leave benefit programs**
   - Federal: ‘Special Benefits’ **WITHIN** (Un)Employment Insurance (EI) Program

3. **Employer-sponsored leave benefits (top-ups)**
   - Limited data
## Comparing Benefit Programs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Canada EI</th>
<th>Québec Basic Plan</th>
<th>Québec Special Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eligibility</strong></td>
<td>600 hours</td>
<td>$2000 earnings (= 170 hours, minimum wage)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Self-employed workers</strong></td>
<td>Register 1 Year before</td>
<td>Covered</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Waiting Period</strong></td>
<td>1 week per couple</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Weeks by wage-replacement rate (% of average earnings)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Canada EI</th>
<th>Québec Basic Plan</th>
<th>Québec Special Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maternity</td>
<td>15 at 55%</td>
<td>18 at 70%</td>
<td>15 at 75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paternity</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>5 at 70%</td>
<td>3 at 75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental (shared)</td>
<td>35 at 55%</td>
<td>32 (7 at 70% + 25 at 55%)</td>
<td>25 at 75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total weeks per couple</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adoption (shared)</td>
<td>35 at 55%</td>
<td>(12 at 70% + 25 at 55%)</td>
<td>28 at 75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low income (net annual income &lt; $25,921)</td>
<td>Up to 80%</td>
<td>Up to 80%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum insurable earnings (2015)</td>
<td>$524/week $49,500/year</td>
<td>$894.22/week $70,000/year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2017 Addition to Federal Benefits

- Extend parental leave; with maternity leave the duration = 18 months (from 12)
- No additional money; 33% for 18m (or, current plan: 55% for 12m)
- Add flexibility (non-contiguous periods)
- Add paternity leave

For Whom?
‘Leaves’ Equity Paradox

“...benefits replicate economic inequality in terms of women’s differential access to employment and income.”

Pulkingham and van der Gaag, 2004
Who Counts? How are they Counted?

Québec: leaves for 87.4% of all births (2014)

Federal Government: Employment Insurance Coverage Survey

PRESS RELEASE “[Nationally,] a total of 74.7% of mothers had insured income before giving birth to, or adopting their child... Among these insured mothers, 89.0% received maternity or parental benefits.”

“No Access Problem”

REPORT “Overall, 66.5% of mothers with a child up to 12 months received maternity and/or parental benefits in 2014, which represents two-thirds of all new mothers.”

Employment Insurance Monitoring and Assessment Report 2014/15
Alternative: By Program & Different Denominator

Figure 1: Proportion of Mothers Receiving Maternity and/or Parental Benefits, Canada, Québec, and the Rest of Canada, 2001-2013


Analysis

1. **Current take-up measures are limited**
   - Tendency to measure paid leave (benefit programs), not all leaves (legal entitlement to unpaid leave) (but progress)
   - Differences between benefit programs is obscured
   - Survey excludes 3 territories and Indigenous living on reserves

2. **Progress on reporting gender take-up of benefits**
   - But survey asks mothers about fathers
   - Lack of data on social class conceals differences among genders & families

3. **Commensurability Issues**
   - Denominators Differ & Reflect Different Program Aims
     - “recently insured” (definition debatable) vs. all
     - Québec: aims to be universal; reports take-up by “all”
     - Federal: aims to cover most, not all; reports take-up by “insured”
Implications & Suggestions

- **In/Visibility**
  - Gender: Raise the (independent) visibility of fathers
  - Class: Raise the visibility of socioeconomic class differences in take-up (plus replacement rate and duration)

- Carefully Consider Commensurability Issues
The Ethics of Quantification

“...the real easily becomes coextensive with what is measurable.

An ethics of quantification should view this ontology as productive but partial...

Measurement can help us see complicated things in ways that make it possible to intervene in them productively... but measurement also can narrow our appraisal of value and relevance to what can be measured easily, at the expense of other ways of knowing...”

Espeland and Stevens, 2008

Sally Merry’s answer:

Tie quantitative to qualitative research
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